LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
OCT 14 1970
E. ROBERT SEAVER, CLERK

Nos. 680, ET AL.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1970

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION,
Respondent.

RESPONSE OF E. COCKRELL, JR. TO PETITIONS IN NOS. 680, 681, 682, 683 AND 685

Of Counsel:

BUTLER, BINION, RICE,
COOK & KNAPP
1100 Esperson Building
Houston, Texas 77002

CECIL N. COOK
NEAL POWERS, JR.
DANIEL B. BENBOW
1100 Esperson Building
Houston, Texas 77002

Attorneys for
E. COCKRELL, JR.

FIDELITY PRINTING COMPANY, INC., HOUSTON

Nos. 680, et al.

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1970

Continental Oil Company, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

Federal Power Commission,
Respondent.

RESPONSE OF E. COCKRELL, JR. TO PETITIONS IN NOS. 680, 681, 682, 683 AND 685

E. Cockrell, Jr. (Cockrell), a respondent in Nos. 669, 691 and 692, and a petitioner in No. 684, hereby responds to the petitions in Nos. 680, 681, 682, 683 and 685, which such latter petitions also seek writs of certiorari to review the judgment and opinions entered by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in this same case on March 19, 1970, and June 16, 1970. In response to these petitions, Cockrell would show as follows:

1. In Nos. 669, 691 and 692, Cockrell is filing a brief opposing such petitions.

2. In Nos. 680, 681, 682, 683 and 685, Cockrell does not oppose the granting of such petitions. In the event such

petitions are granted, Cockrell would be aligned with the petitioners and not with the respondent Federal Power Commission.

Respectfully submitted.

Of Counsel:

BUTLER, BINION, RICE, COOK & KNAPP
1100 Esperson Building
Houston, Texas 77002

CECIL N. COOK
NEAL POWERS, JR.
DANIEL B. BENSON
1100 Esperson Building
Houston, Texas 77002

Attorneys for
E. COCKRELL, JR.

October 14, 1970

LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
OCT 14 1970
E. ROBERT SEAVER, CLERK

Nos. 680, ET AL.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1970

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION,
Respondent.

RESPONSE OF BRADCO PROPERTIES, INC., et al., TO PETITIONS IN NOS. 680, 681, 682, 684 AND 685

Of Counsel:
BUTLER, BINION, RICE,
COOK & KNAPP
1100 Esperson Building
Houston, Texas 77002

CECIL N. COOK
NEAL POWERS, JR.
DANIEL B. BENBOW
1100 Esperson Building
Houston, Texas 77002

Attorneys for
BRADCO PROPERTIES, INC.,
FREEPORT SULPHUR COMPANY,
JOHN W. MECOM,
LAKE WASHINGTON INC.,
U. S. OIL OF LOUISIANA INC. AND
U. S. OIL OF LOUISIANA, LTD.

October 14, 1970

FIDELITY PRINTING COMPANY, INC., HOUSTON

Nos. 680, et al.

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1970

Continental Oil Company, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

Federal Power Commission,
Respondent.

RESPONSE OF BRADCO PROPERTIES, INC., et al., TO PETITIONS IN NOS. 680, 681, 682, 684 AND 685

Bradco Properties, Inc., Freeport Sulphur Company, John W. Mecom, Lake Washington Inc., U. S. Oil of Louisiana Inc. and U. S. Oil of Louisiana, Ltd. (Bradco, *et al.*), respondents in Nos. 669, 691 and 692, and petitioners in No. 683, hereby respond to the petitions in Nos. 680, 681, 682, 684 and 685, which such latter petitions also seek writs of certiorari to review the judgment and opinions entered by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in this same case on March 19, 1970, and June 16, 1970. In response to these petitions, Bradco, *et al.*, would show as follows:

1. In Nos. 669, 691 and 692, Bradco, *et al.*, are filing a brief opposing such petitions.

2. In Nos. 680, 681, 682, 684 and 685, Bradco, *et al.*, do not oppose the granting of such petitions. In the event such